

Article

Experimental Methodology and Facility for the J69-Engine Performance and Emissions Evaluation Using Jet A1 and Biodiesel Blends

Gabriel Talero ¹, Camilo Bayona-Roa ^{1,*}, Giovanny Muñoz ¹, Miguel Galindo ¹, Vladimir Silva ¹, Juan Pava ² and Mauricio Lopez ³

¹ Universidad ECCI, Cra. 19 No. 49-20, Bogotá 111311, Colombia; gtaleror@eccci.edu.co (G.T.); giovannya.munoz@eccci.edu.co (G.M.); josem.galindoc@eccci.edu.co (M.G.); vsilval@eccci.edu.co (V.S.)

² Escuela de Postgrados de la Fuerza Aérea Colombiana, Cra. 57 No. 43-28—CAN Puerta 8, Bogotá 111321, Colombia; juan.pava@epfac.edu.co

³ Fuerza Aérea Colombiana, Cra. 57 No. 43-28—CAN Puerta 8, Bogotá 111321, Colombia; mauricio.lopezg@fac.mil.co

* Correspondence: cbayonar@eccci.edu.co

Received: 5 November 2019; Accepted: 25 November 2019; Published: 28 November 2019



Abstract: Aeronautic transport is a leading energy consumer that strongly contributes to greenhouse gas emissions due to a significant dependency on fossil fuels. Biodiesel, a substitution of conventional fuels, is considered as an alternative fuel for aircrafts and power generation turbine engines. Unfortunately, experimentation has been mostly limited to small scale turbines, and technical challenges remain open regarding operational safety. The current study presents the facility, the instrumentation, and the measured results of experimental tests in a 640 kW full-scale J69-T-25A turbojet engine, operating with blends of Jet A1 and oil palm biodiesel with volume contents from 0% to 10% at different load regimes. Findings are related to the fuel injection system, the engine thrust, and the emissions. The thrust force and the exhaust gas temperature do not expose a significant variation in all the operation regimes with the utilization of up to 10% volume content of biodiesel. A maximum increase of 36% in fuel consumption and 11% in injection pressure are observed at idle operation between B0 and B10. A reduction of the CO and HC emissions is also registered with a maximum variation at the cruise regime (80% Revolutions Per Minute—RPM).

Keywords: biodiesel; gas turbine; turbojet; energy performance; emissions; aviation

1. Introduction

Aviation transport is an important energy consumer sector that strongly depends on fossil fuels such as jet/kerosene, diesel, and gasoline. It is also largely vulnerable to the availability and cost of fossil fuel [1]. Domestic and international aviation transport consumed almost 3.2% of the world's energy consumption in 2015 [2]. Moreover, fossil fuel prices strongly affect the sustainability of the aviation sector, projecting a rise of jet fuel costs near \$100 USD/ton per year [3]. In 2016, air transportation contributed around 2.3% of the global anthropogenic CO₂ emissions [4], significantly contributing to the greenhouse as (GHG) emissions. As a result of the increasing freight transport activities throughout the world and low utilization of renewable energies in the aircraft powertrain splits, the international-aviation sector is constantly evaluating a variety of alternatives for the replacement of conventional fuels with alternative fuels [3].

Alternative aviation fuels can be produced from a variety of renewable biomass feedstock as soybean, palm, coconut, or jatropha with different production routes as biomass to liquid (BTL), Fischer Tropsch, hydro-processing, biochemical fermentation, or transesterification. The mixtures of

fatty acid methyl esters (FAME) derived from vegetable oils or biodiesel have shown advantages in miscibility with fossil fuels and contain no sulfur or aromatic compounds [3]. Biodiesel has been studied worldwide as an alternative fuel for turbine engines, in part, explained by the current commercial availability of this biofuel. The substitution of biodiesel has been studied not only for generating thrust in aircrafts [5–7] but also for electric power generation systems [8–10].

According to the literature, one main difference between biofuel and fossil fuel is the higher viscosity of the former. For example, when using a mixture of soybean biodiesel with ultra-low-sulfur-diesel, the viscosity difference affects the atomization of fuel in the nozzle [11]. Several studies of biodiesel blends used in aviation engines have shown no significative detrimental effect on the engine performance compared to the use of pure jet fuels [7,12]. The exhaust gas emissions expose no significant variations of O₂ and CO₂ compounds [13], but a significant rise in CO emissions is reported when increasing the biodiesel content as a result of an inadequate atomizing of the fuel that causes a more incomplete combustion [6,14]. There are still several challenges to overcome with the utilization of biodiesel in aviation turbines regarding the high cost of fuel production, the degradative effect of biofuels over fuel systems linked to the crucial operating safety, and the adjustment of the biodiesel properties to aviation standards [15].

Unfortunately, the experimentation on full scale turbines—which may clarify the acceptable operation parameters—are expensive and complex, limiting most of the reported experimentation to small size turbojets ranging from 10 to 50 kW [16]. Studies reported by Chiaramonti et al. [7] and Nascimento et al. [6] evaluated the effect of blends of diesel and biodiesel on the energy performance and the emissions of a micro-turbine with energy power ratings of 20 and 30 kW, indicating no changes in the energy performance of the engine. In opposition, French [17] and Habib et al. [18] report a reduction in the thrust force by around 8% and, thus, a reduction in the thrust specific fuel consumption when mixing biodiesel and Jet A in 30 kW micro-turbines. Regarding the emission, a reduction in the evolved CO, HC, and NO_x is noted by Chiaramonti et al. [7], but a formation of cloudiness is reported by French [17].

On the other hand, the evaluation of turbines with an energy power rating above 100 kW is reported in a few studies, except in the ones presented by Corporan et al. [5] and Lupandin et al. [19]. In this sense, Corporan et al. [5] tested mixtures of JP-8 and up to 20% volume content (*v/v*) of biodiesel in a 230 kW helicopter engine ref. Allison T63-A-700, reporting an increase of 20% in the particulate emissions at the idle regime. In that study, a rise of 4% in fuel consumption was reported due to inaccuracy in the fuel control unit (FCU). Lupandin et al. [19] tested a 2.5 MW power generation gas turbine ref. GT2500 using mixtures of Jet A and up to 12% *v/v* of biodiesel. The experimentation concluded an increase in the exhaust gas temperature, fuel consumption, and the evolved CO emissions, also exposing the plugging of biodiesel in the filtration system.

The former incongruences in the behavior of each micro-turbine preclude an accurate prediction of the effect of biodiesel in large-scale turbines. Furthermore, the lack of experimental information and validation in real aeronautic turbines with biodiesel is remarkable, with the major absence of information on the effects in the operating performance, emissions, and in the mechanical wearing of components. The main purpose of the current study is to present the experimental methodology and facility in a 640 kW full-scale J69-T-25A turbojet engine operated at different load regimes using mixtures of Jet A1 with 0%, 5%, and 10% oil palm biodiesel. We describe the test facility and experimental procedures, including the test bench and monitoring system, and the experimental planning. The main findings are related to the overall engine operating performance and the exhaust emissions by measuring the: thrust force (*T*), fuel mass flow ($\dot{m}_f$), and volume fractions of O₂, CO₂, CO, and HC. The present work is complementary to computational analysis of the performance of gas turbine engines operating with biodiesel blends, such as the one presented in [20].

2. Materials and Methods

Two fuels were used in the present study: a commercial kerosene-based Jet A1, and a Colombian palm oil biodiesel with a commercial reference BioD, Premium 360. The experimental plan was conducted with fuel blends of Jet A1 and biodiesel using the biodiesel volume contents (w_{BD}) of 0% (B0), 5% (B5), and 10% (B10). The blends were prepared in a tank with a nominal capacity of 4 m³, using a transfer pump and a flow meter Tuthill Fill-Rite model 820 (accuracy of 0.1%). An initial volume $V_{i,B0} = 1762 \pm 8$ L of Jet A1 was filled in the tank and was consumed in the baseline experiments B0 until a final volume $V_{f,B0}$ was obtained. Thereafter, a volume of biodiesel was added to the tank to increase the biodiesel volume fraction. The same procedure was repeated for the mixture of B10. The experimental level and the accuracy of the volume contents of biodiesel were always kept below 2.5%. These fuel blends were used to test the engine performance operating at different load regimes from idle to maximum throttle.

2.1. Experimental Facility and Procedure

The experimental facility employed in this study is presented in Figures 1 and 2. The tested engine is a turbojet engine Teledyne Continental Aviation and Engineering—CAE J69 used in the military aircraft Cessna T-37 by the Colombian Air Force. The engine was installed on a testing bench, as presented in Figure 1a, and the instrumentation used corresponds to the one described in the engine technical manual (T.O. 2J-J69-72) [21]. The variables: Outside Air Temperature OAT, Exhaust Gas Temperature—EGT, rotational speed of the main shaft— ω_{shaft} , thrust force— T , and fuel injection pressure— P_f were measured and acquired in the control booth every 5 s only using a National Instruments ref. cRIO data logger.

The main components of the experimental facility are schematically presented in Figure 2a, consisting of the turbojet engine, the control and instrumentation sets, the thrust force measurement system, the fuel delivery system, the exhaust gas sampling stand, and the lubrication system. The Teledyne CAE J69 is a turbojet engine of model variant J69-T-25A with its main technical specifications, as indicated in Table 1 and with a nominal energy power rating of 640 kW. The rotational speed of the main shaft (ω_{shaft}) is measured with an AAE model MS25038-4 tachometer generator, with a speed ratio, measurement range, and accuracy of 6:1, 0–4200 RPM, and 1%, respectively.



Figure 1. (a) General aspect of the J69 engine installed in the testing bench; (b) detail of the control booth used in the experimentation.

The exhaust gas temperature (EGT) is one main operative parameter that allows the evaluation of the mechanical and energetic behavior of the engine, as this is affected by the combustion conditions in the hot section of the turbine, the changes of the air to fuel ratio (AFR) at the different operating regimes, and the final power conversion and heat dissipation of the turbine. The outside air temperature (OAT)

affects the density of the intake air, modifying the compression efficiency and the general performance of the engine. The values of OAT and EGT were measured with a set of 6 thermocouples, ref. 700530, normally used during a flight routine, which are installed at the air inlet duct and the outer tailpipe, as presented in Figure 2a. The layout of the installation of these thermocouples is presented in Figure 2c in accordance with the standard instrumentation of the engine reported in its technical manual [21].




Figure 2. (a) General J69 engine experimental facility detailing peripheral components and instrumentation: (1) turbojet engine model J69T-25A, (2) tachometer, (3) fuel pressure manometer, (4) air inlet duct, (5) air inlet temperature probe set, (6) exhaust gas temperature (EGT) thermocouple set, (7) exhaust gas sampling rake, (8) exhaust gas analyzer, (9) fuel flow meter, (10) fuel supply line, (11) fuel inlet pressure manometer, (12) fuel supply pump, and (13) fuel tank; (b) detail of the exhaust gas sampling rake: (14) outer tailpipe, (15) gas sampling ducts; (c) the layout of the installation of the thermocouples, in accordance with the standard instrumentation of the engine technical manual [21]. (Source: Author's own figures).

Table 1. General specifications and operating environment of the J69 engine.

General Specifications of the J69 Engine	
Engine reference	Teledyne CAE J69
Variant	J69-T-25A
Engine type	Turbojet—Single spool
Rotational speed max./RPM	21,730
Thrust max./N	4560
EGT max./°C	663
Compressor type	Centrifugal—1 stage
Inlet air flow max./kg/s	9.07
Pressure ratio max.	3.9
Turbine type	Axial flow—1 stage
Fuel distributor	Centrifugal/Slinger holes
No. Of fuel injectors	2
No. Of Slinger Holes	16
Fuel type	JP-4, Jet A, Jet A1
Fuel consumption max./g/s	143.6
Operating Environment	
Location	Madrid, Colombia
MSN/m	2554
OAT/°C	16–26
RH/%	25–56
P _{atm} /hPa	1017

The exhaust gas is sampled at the outer tailpipe with an exhaust gas sampling rake, as presented in Figure 2b, designed to meet the standards of the International Civil Aviation Organization [22]. The volume fractions of O₂, CO₂, CO, and HC at the exhaust are measured using a Hanatech ref. IM2400 Ultra 4/5 gas analyzer with ranges 0%–23%, 0%–20%, 0%–10%, and 0–10,000 ppm for the O₂, CO₂, CO, and HC, respectively.

The fuel mass flow ($\dot{m}_f$) was measured using a Tuthill Fill-Rite model 820 digital flow meter, ranging from 7.6 L/min to 75.7 L/min with an accuracy of 0.5%. To sense the fuel injection pressure (P_f), a Sunpass 300 PSI pressure gage model with an accuracy of 0.4% was used. During the experimentation, the fuel blends were stored in the tank trailer and pumped with an external transfer pump (with a flow range from 20 L/min to 120 L/min and 345 kPa of max. pressure) into the fuel pump group (FPG). In the FPG, the fuel was pressurized up to 1448 kPa and pumped into the fuel control unit (FCU), where the fuel mass flow was controlled as a function of the acceleration control, ω_{shaft} , EGT, and the sensed pressure of the pre-heated primary air.

The thrust force (T) was measured with a set of two Toroid Corp. 3965 model load cells (with a load range of 11,340 kg and an accuracy of 1%). General specifications of the experimental facility and the operating conditions of the engine are presented in Table 1. Experimentation was carried out in the atmospheric environment of Madrid, Colombia. The barometric pressure, the temperature, and relative humidity of the ambient air were measured with a Wallace and Tiernan ref. FA 112170 barometer and an Omet ref. C3121 thermo-hygrometer.

To run an experiment, the testing bench sensors are first checked, and the fuel line coming from the tank trailer is pressurized. Then, the turbine shaft is actioned to rotate to 8257 RPM using a starter-generator drive. The fuel blend is injected with 2 sets of starting fuel nozzles. Thereupon, a set of 2 spark plugs are used to ignite the combustion, and the fuel distributor starts the fuel injection. At this procedure, the exhaust gas temperature immediately reaches the max. operation value. The ignition procedure checks if the value of EGT is below 662 °C, such that the starter-generator drive and the spark plugs are switched off, and the engine is left over at the idle regime (8257 RPM). Once the engine is turned on and all the peripheral systems are checked, the engine is gradually sped up to the take-off regime (21,730 RPM) until the lubrication oil temperature reaches from 37 °C to 65 °C. The response variables are registered for 5 min at steady-state conditions.

Then, the engine is slowed down to the cruise regime (17,384 RPM), left over for 5 min to attain steady-state conditions, and the response variables are again registered for another 5 min. The register procedure is repeated for the 15,211 RPM and the idle (8257 RPM) regime. Lastly, the engine is left over at the cruise regime for 10 min to evaluate changes in operation variables. To finish the experimental run, the engine is slowed down gradually to the idle regime, and the combustion systems are turned off. The engine is then cooled down until EGT is below 120 °C, and the main components of the engine are visually checked. After that, a new fuel blend is prepared and tested by repeating the same procedure. Three test replicas were done for each blend to have a statistical control of the experimental measurements.

2.2. Experimental Planning and Data Processing

The experiments are codified with “B” for w_{BD} and “RPM” for the engine operating regime as a percentage ratio of the maximum ω_{shaft} of 21,730 RPM. The baseline experiments with no biodiesel content (B0-RPM38, B0-RPM70, B0-RPM80, B0-RPM100) were selected to evaluate the design operating regimes of the engine using pure Jet A1. The biodiesel volume contents of B5 and B10 were selected to evaluate the effect of the biodiesel substitution in the engine operation as no prior evidence of operation with biodiesel is reported in the literature for the J-69 engine. The operating regimes of the engine were set to 38% RPM (8257 RPM), 80% RPM (17,384 RPM), and 100% RPM (21,730 RPM) in order to evaluate the idle, cruise, and take-off regimes, respectively. An additional operating regime of 70% RPM (15,211 RPM) was selected to assess the effect of the biodiesel substitution in an intermediate regime between the idle and cruise regimes.