

Geostratigraphic Mapping of the Intrusive Valentine Domes on the Moon



Key Points:

- A new intrusive dome was discovered using high-resolution data from the Narrow Angle Camera (NAC) on board the Lunar Reconnaissance Orbiter (LRO)
- Smaller intrusive structures on top of the main domes are aligned with each other and share spectral properties
- From the crater count analysis of the system, it was found that the intrusion was active at least from 2.8 to 1.8 Ga

Supporting Information:

Supporting Information may be found in the online version of this article.

Correspondence to:

J. E. Suárez-Valencia,
jsuarezvalencia@constructor.university

Citation:

Suárez-Valencia, J. E., & Rossi, A. P. (2024). Geostratigraphic mapping of the intrusive Valentine Domes on the Moon. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Planets*, 129, e2024JE008423. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2024JE008423>

Received 2 APR 2024

Accepted 27 SEP 2024

Author Contributions:

Conceptualization: Javier

Eduardo Suárez-Valencia

Data curation: Javier Eduardo Suárez-Valencia

Formal analysis: Javier Eduardo Suárez-Valencia

Funding acquisition: Angelo Pio Rossi

Investigation: Javier Eduardo Suárez-Valencia, Angelo Pio Rossi

Methodology: Javier Eduardo Suárez-Valencia

Project administration: Angelo Pio Rossi

Resources: Angelo Pio Rossi

Software: Javier Eduardo Suárez-Valencia

Supervision: Angelo Pio Rossi

Validation: Javier Eduardo Suárez-Valencia, Angelo Pio Rossi

© 2024 The Author(s).

This is an open access article under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited and is not used for commercial purposes.

Javier Eduardo Suárez-Valencia¹  and Angelo Pio Rossi² 

¹Constructor University, Bremen, Germany, ²Earthgraph GmbH, Bremen, Germany

Abstract Lunar intrusive igneous domes have not been the center of much research in the past due to their rare occurrence on the lunar surface, and the difficulty in locating them. Most of the known structures were discovered using images with low illumination angles, including data from the Lunar Orbiter, telescopic images, and photos taken during the Apollo Missions. These intrusive domes are characterized by an oval shape and low slopes. We analyzed one of these systems, the Valentine domes, located near the rim of the western Serenitatis basin, with modern techniques and data sets from the Lunar Reconnaissance Orbiter (LRO) and Chandrayaan-1 missions. We created a geostратigraphic map of the area, combining geomorphological and spectral classifications. The aspect map (direction of the slope) proved to be the most suitable product to locate and delimit these structures; using it, we identified a new dome southeast of the principal body, suggesting that the intrusive system is larger than previously thought. It was found that the three domes can be classified as laccoliths, and that several derived structures such as rilles, dykes, and secondary domes represent different stages of intrusive activity in the area. Based on crater counting analysis, we determined that the intrusive activity began after 2.98 ± 0.15 Ga and lasted at least until 1.88 ± 0.5 Ga ago.

Plain Language Summary Igneous intrusive domes have not been extensively studied, in part due to their rare occurrence on the lunar surface. In this work, we used data derived from the Lunar Reconnaissance Orbiter (LRO) and Chandrayaan-1 to analyze and construct a comprehensive map of the Valentine Domes system near the rim of the Serenitatis basin, a group of small hills formed from the cooling and emplacement of magma below the surface. This type of dome is difficult to identify from satellite imagery due to its subtle effect on the topography; however, using modern data sets, we discovered a new dome, while also studying those that are already known. The detailed mapping allowed us to identify several smaller structures around the main domes, which proved that the system is more complex and bigger than previously thought. Our analyses suggest that the igneous system was active at least until 1.8 Ga ago.

1. Introduction

Lunar geological activity has been largely dominated using igneous and impact-related processes (e.g., Shearer et al., 2023). Large impacts were responsible for the formation of the observed major basins, as well as the generation of large amounts of ejecta and their subsequent accumulation in the surrounding areas (e.g., Geiss & Rossi, 2013; Liu et al., 2021). Extrusive igneous processes have also played a major role in the present geology of the Moon, creating the vast fields of basaltic materials called maria (e.g., Taylor, 2007). Other expressions of extrusive activity include large volcanic complexes, such as Mons Rümker (e.g., Huang et al., 2011; Scott & Eggleton, 1973) or Marius Hills (e.g., Huang et al., 2011; McCauley, 1967), but several small-scale landforms dominate the diversity of lunar volcanism. Lunar rilles carved by lava flows are commonly found in the maria (Garfinkle, 2020), and in some cases, they are associated with pits of high scientific interest (Wagner & Robinson, 2014). Less common are pyroclastic deposits, which can be related to cinder cones or fractures in the surface (Gustafson et al., 2012). Extrusive magmatism even plays a role in small-scale features, such as the rim-moat structures common in the lunar maria, which were probably formed in the last stages of maria formation (Zhang et al., 2017). Although the extent of extrusive igneous activity on the lunar surface is substantial, as seen in the previous examples, this is not true for intrusive igneous processes.

1.1. Intrusive Rocks on the Moon

The absence of widespread intrusive bodies on the lunar surface is related to the mechanisms of intrusive activity itself. The ascent of magmatic bodies that cooled under the surface is controlled by the buoyancy of both the

Visualization: Angelo Pio Rossi
Writing – original draft: Javier Eduardo Suárez-Valencia
Writing – review & editing: Angelo Pio Rossi

intrusion and the host rock, and the distribution of stress in the crust (Wilson & Head, 2017). In contrast to Earth, on the Moon there is no widespread mechanism such as crustal contamination that allows magma originating from the mantle to evolve and overcome their density difference with the lighter upper crust (e.g., Shearer, 2006; Wieczorek, 2006). This impedes the rise of the magma; consequently, the intrusive bodies tend to lose heat and stall within the lower crust (e.g., McCallum & Schwartz, 2001). Nevertheless, some rock fragments among the samples returned during the Apollo, Luna, and Chang'e-5 missions have been interpreted as intrusive in origin (e.g., Laul & Schmitt, 1973; Papike et al., 1998; Zhang et al., 2021). Two distinct groups of rocks were identified: the Mg-suite, characterized by the presence of silicates with high contents of magnesium (Shearer et al., 2015); and the Al-suite, enriched in alkaline elements and with significant concentrations of rare earth elements (Snyder et al., 1995). The named samples were found both as complete fragments or as small aggregates in lunar breccias, and an estimated crystallization depth of 40–50 km was constrained for the Mg-Suite (Shearer et al., 2015).

There are only two routes through which these fragments (or any other intrusive body) may have reached the lunar surface. Either by the mechanical exhumation of intrusive bodies from the lower crust or by their intrusion into regions where the crust was thin. Both instances could have resulted from large impacts on the lunar surface (Figure 1). Numerical simulations suggest that the largest impacts on the Moon could have excavated materials as deep as the mantle (e.g., Miljković et al., 2015). Through this process, rocks of the Mg-suite and Al-suite would have been scattered on the surface as ejecta or enclosed in the structurally uplifted central peaks of complex craters (Bretzfelder et al., 2020; Klima et al., 2011). Another outcome of large impacts was the thinning and fracturing of the crust, which could create conduits where magma from the mantle ascends, erupts, and infills the craters (Hartmann & Wood, 1971). This induced magmatism would also produce small and relatively shallow intrusions such as dykes and laccoliths (Head & Wilson, 2017). Due to this formation process, these intrusions have been found mostly within maria. Dykes and related geological features can also be commonly found in maria, either directly on the surface as linear or sinuous ridges, or generating other structures when stalled near it, such as linear grabens or aligned cinder cones (Head & Wilson, 2017).

Intrusive domes are less common since only a handful have been identified, mainly by Wöhler and Lena, 2009 and Lena et al. (2013). Individual domes have not been studied in detail before this work, mainly due to their subtle effect on the surface and the difficulty in locating them. These structures are interesting due to their morphology and the geologic processes associated with their emplacement on the lunar surface. New data have been obtained since the work done by Lena et al. (2013), allowing a high-resolution analysis of these structures, which is important for improving our understanding of their formation. In this work, we carried out a geostratigraphic analysis of the Valentine Domes region (30.69°N, 10.20°E), which allowed us to interpret their origin and infer the properties of lunar intrusive domes in a broader context. We chose to study the Valentine Domes due to their complex manifestation at the surface. There are several small-scale mounds and fractures near or inside the domes, which is not the case for the other candidates located by Lena et al. (2013). These features suggest that the intrusive system had further effects on the surface beyond the formation of the laccolith, which does not seem to be the case for other lunar intrusive domes.

1.2. Intrusive Domes on the Moon

Lena et al. (2013) defined 16 candidate intrusive domes using telescopic pictures and images from the Wide Angle Camera (WAC) onboard the Lunar Reconnaissance Orbiter (LRO) (Robinson et al., 2010). These structures can be differentiated from effusive domes because they do not have summit or lateral vents or lava flows associated with them. Additionally, they are typically wider, shorter, and more oval-shaped than other types of domes. These properties make them difficult to locate in optical or spectral images since they do not create an abrupt change in the topography or the composition of the surface. This is especially true for images in the visible range of the maria, where the opaque tones of the basaltic lava can obscure shallow and wide structures such as intrusive domes. These domes typically behave as laccoliths, which are convex-shaped uplifts made of pre-existing basaltic lavas, deformed by intruding plutons that stalled near the surface (Schofield et al., 2021). Lena et al. (2013) used the method of Kerr and Pollard (1998) to calculate the depth of the intrusions, obtaining values between 0.5 and 1 km. All the candidate intrusive domes occur on maria or transitional areas, and none are found in the highlands. This suggests that they are not fragments of the basement trapped in the mare, but rather structures formed from intruding magma (Lena et al., 2013).




Figure 1. Sketch representation of the two possible processes that can result in the emplacement of intrusive igneous rocks on the surface: first, by large impacts that can exhumate intrusive rocks from the lower crust and deposit them as ejecta on the rim of lunar impact basin; or second, as induced magmatism generated in large basins due to the thinning of the crust, expressed on the surface as laccoliths/intrusive domes (figure not to scale).

1.3. Valentine Domes

The Valentine Domes are located in the northwestern region of Mare Serenitatis (30.69 N, 10.20 E), near the rim of the basin (Figure 2). The system was originally mapped as volcanic in origin by Hackman (1966). However, it was later described as a possible intrusive system by Wöhler and Lena (2009), consisting of a main dome with a diameter of 30 km (V1), and a second dome to the north, with a diameter of 11 km (V2). Both were classified as laccoliths. These authors also derived a Digital Elevation Model (DEM) from telescopic images, from which they measured a maximum altitude of 130 m for V1 and 80 m for V2. Lena et al. (2013) highlighted two linear structures on V1: a fault that uplifts the east margin of the dome, and a rille that cuts the structure with an NW-SE trend. According to the global geological map of Fortezzo et al. (2020), both domes are located within Eratosthenian lavas, younger than the Imbrian lava that dominate the center of the Serenitatis Basin.

2. Data

Prior studies mainly used telescopic data and images from the Apollo missions since they could feature low illumination angles, thus generating large shadows and contrasts that allowed them to more confidently define the shape of the domes. Newer and more diverse data sets have become available since then, which we employed to analyze the domes at a high spatial resolution. We utilized two types of panchromatic images, both obtained from the LRO spacecraft. The first data set was the global WAC mosaic produced by Speyerer et al. (2011), with a spatial resolution of 100 m/px, and the second data set was images from the Narrow Angle Camera (NAC). We obtained 23 images from the Planetary Data System (PDS) (Robinson, 2009), and then created a high-resolution mosaic of the area with a horizontal spatial resolution of 3 m/px. We also used two DEMs with different resolutions, the global LRO (LOLA)-Kaguya (LALT) mosaic produced by Barker et al. (2016), with a resolution of 59 m/px; and a DEM derived from NAC stereo pairs, with a spatial resolution of 3 m/px. To interpret the composition of the surface, we




Figure 2. Location of the Valentine domes near the rim of the Mare Serenitatis (30.69° N, 10.20° E). The image is centered in the main dome (V1), which is an oval-shaped structure 30 km in diameter. To the north lies the smaller secondary dome V2, 11 km in diameter.

generated spectral indexes derived from hyperspectral data obtained by the Moon Mineralogy Mapper (M^3) on-board Chandrayaan-1 (Green et al., 2011). The data cube had a spatial resolution of 110 m/px and contained 85 channels covering the 430–3,000 nm spectral range. The cube was downloaded from the PDS (Malaret, 2011). Since plagioclase is not easily recognized from M^3 data, we also used the global Christiansen Feature map from Lucey et al. (2021), derived from the Diviner Lunar Radiometer Experiment onboard LRO. The Christiansen feature is a position of minimum reflectance and maximum emission of certain silicates in the thermal infrared range, which is particularly useful for identifying plagioclase since its absorption features do not overlap with those of pyroxene and olivine, as it occurs in the near-infrared range. Finally, we analyzed the Bouguer gravitational anomalies derived from GRAIL, using the basemaps developed by Goossens et al. (2021). A list of all the products used in this work can be found in Table S1 in Supporting Information S1.

3. Methods

3.1. Data Correction and Projection

Data derived from other authors were ready to analyze, but the NAC images and the M^3 cube needed further processing. Both products were converted to map-projected images using the Integrated Software for Imagers and Spectrometers (ISIS) (Laura et al., 2023) and the Geospatial Data Abstraction Library (GDAL) (Rouault et al., 2023). The processing of NAC images included importing to ISIS, a radiometric correction, a noise correction, the map projection, and finally, the generation of a TIF file useable in geospatial software. The processing of M^3 cubes was more convoluted; the importing command of ISIS only accepts the radiance product of M^3 , so we modified the associated LBL file of the radiance cube to use the reflectance data (Figuera et al., 2018). Following these steps, the map projection and format transformation were successfully completed. A detailed description of the scripts used in this process can be found in Text S1 in Supporting Information S1.

3.2. Derived Products

We found that a better understanding of the shape of the domes could be achieved by studying the DEMs and derivative products. The spatial resolution of the LRO-Kaguya DEM is not high enough to define small structures, so we created a stereo DEM using the Ames Stereo Pipeline (ASP) (Beyer et al., 2018). We used five overlapping NAC images to generate a DEM that covered the entire area. We created three more terrain products derived from both DEMs using the geospatial software QGIS: a hillshade map, a slope map, and an aspect map (Figure 3), which were made with the homonymous tools of QGIS. These products greatly enhanced the morphology of the domes and the landforms on top of them, but the aspect map was especially useful in delimiting the boundaries of the domes. This is because the aspect parameter features the azimuth direction of the slope, regardless of its magnitude, so the limits of the domes are visible even when the slope is low (Florinsky, 2012).

We also derived 28 spectral indexes from the M^3 cube to complement the classification of geological units (Table S2 in Supporting Information S1). This process was carried out using the Python library *MoonIndex* (Suárez-Valencia et al., 2024), which takes the map-projected cube as input and performs the filtering, the removal of the continuum, and then generates a set of spectral indexes aimed at characterizing mineralogy. These spectral indexes are focused on highlighting the properties of the absorption bands around 1 and 2 μm , since they record the interaction between the mafic minerals common on the lunar surface: olivine, clinopyroxene and orthopyroxene. Other indexes use mathematical operations on certain bands to showcase the presence of other minerals or compounds, like spinel, iron oxide, or anorthosite (Suárez-Valencia et al., 2024). We also used spectral signatures after the continuum removal to discuss specific differences between certain structures. In this work, we used the convex hull continuum-removal method (Graham, 1972).

3.3. Mapping Techniques

To characterize the Valentine domes in detail, we decided to map them at two scales. On a regional level, we focused on establishing relationships between the major domes and rilles and how they fit into the geological configuration of the Serenitatis basin rim. At the detailed scale, we mapped only the domes and their surroundings, since several secondary and small landforms lie within them, and are key to understanding the evolution of the intrusive system. We used a hybrid approach to define the units on the map (e.g., Canale et al., 2024; Massironi et al., 2021; Yingst et al., 2023). In this method, the final map is a combination of previous geomorphological and spectral maps, defined by a categorized tree of decisions (Yingst et al., 2023). Instead of combining two maps, we decided to define geomorphological units first, which were later refined or modified according to the spectral information (Fassett & Head, 2008; Tognon et al., 2024; Wright et al., 2024). We followed this approach since in our case the resolution of the panchromatic data is more than 10 times higher than the spectral information; also, it would be impractical to create a single spectral map or several maps for each one of the 28 indexes. Finally, we also added relative ages to the units. For the smallest units, this was done by analyzing cross-cutting relationships, and for the larger units, the age was defined using the crater size-frequency counting technique (Neukum et al., 2001), and with the updated chronology model of Yue et al. (2022). We also performed a buffer crater-counting analysis to study the relationship between the larger rilles and the domes (Kneissl et al., 2015). The analysis and mapping of the data were carried out in the geoprocessing software QGIS




Figure 3. Detailed data sets used to create the geomorphological map. (a) Mosaic of Narrow Angle Camera (NAC) images of the area, (b) DEM of the area derived from NAC stereo pairs images, (c) Hillshade derived from the DEM, (d) Slope derived from the DEM, (e) Aspect derived from the DEM, the dome is especially clear in this product.

with the aid of the Mappy plug-in (Penasa & Brandt, 2021), the crater counting was performed with the CraterTools extension of ArcGIS (Kneissl et al., 2011), and the age determination in the Python version of the software CraterStats (Michael, 2021).

4. Results

We first present the regional mapping, which allowed us to identify large-scale trends of the underlying magmatic bodies and other surficial structures not identified by previous authors. We then move to the detailed mapping of the domes, which records the specific properties of the laccoliths and defines the stratigraphic relationships between the different intrusive pulses of the system. The complete maps at both scales can be seen in Figures S4 and S5 in Supporting Information S1.




Figure 4. Total area affected by the Valentine intrusive system. (a) Location of the newly discovered V3, the largest dome of the three. It has a limited effect on the overall surface topography. The black arrows point to the incipient dome, and the blue arrows point to the rilles cutting the domes. (b) Profile of V3, the dome is asymmetric, having a steeper slope to the east. (c) 3D view of the area, showing the upwelled mare around the two larger domes.

4.1. Regional Setting

The greatest morphological contrast in the area occurs between the rim and the mare of the Serenitatis basin. The rim has high albedo, scarped topography, and a dominant abundance of plagioclase, according to the map of Lucey et al. (2021) (Figure S1 in Supporting Information S1). In contrast, the mare is dark toned, has a smooth texture, and does not show a detectable signal of plagioclase; this is the result of a major abundance of mafic minerals, which can mask the signal of plagioclase even if it is present (Arnold et al., 2016). However, the small-scale mounds inside V1 do have a higher signal of plagioclase, probably due to a smaller number of mafic minerals. We also checked the Bouguer gravitational anomaly using the map of Goossens et al. (2021), and although we found a strong positive anomaly beneath the mare, there is a ring-like structure with a lower anomaly near the intrusive domes (Figure S2 in Supporting Information S1). The GRAIL spatial resolution does not allow a detailed analysis of this feature, but its origin might be related to a buried impact crater.

4.1.1. New Dome

As mentioned in the previous section, locating the intrusive domes is not a straightforward process. Using the aspect map derived from the Kaguya DEM, we were able to recognize a new dome (V3) (Figures 4 and 5). It has a diameter of 43.33 km, making it the biggest dome of the Valentine system (Figures 4a and 4b). V3 is oval-shaped and asymmetric, its eastern flank is more pronounced than the western one, but it does not have a steep scarp like V1. The emplacement of this dome had little effect on the surface, as the only noticeable feature in the mare is a narrow linear rille that crosses the dome in an NW-SE direction (Figure 4). V3 has a gentle slope of 0.43° , compared to the 4.3° of V1, which might be the reason why it was not identified by Lena et al. (2013).

Another interesting feature lies between V1 and V3, the last segment of the long linear rille that dissects V1 since it is slightly uplifted in comparison to the rest of the rille. In the aspect map, a linear bump crosses the rille with an NE-SW trend, similar to the main wrinkle ridges in the area (Figure 4a). Nevertheless, the feature does not resemble a wrinkle ridge, since it is wider and its edges are not sinuous. We interpret this structure as an incipient uplift generated by another intrusive body. Its shape is irregular, and its borders are diffuse, so we classified it as an incipient dome (Figure 5). After locating these new structures, we evaluated their relationships with the




Figure 5. Regional geostratigraphic map of the region. The total area affected by the main uplift from the intrusive dome is outlined in pink. The basemap is a global Wide Angle Camera mosaic (Speyerer et al., 2011).

developed domes V1 and V2. Using the Kaguya DEM and the aspect map, we observed a slight bump in the mare that encloses V1, V3 and the incipient dome (Figures 4a and 4c). The affected area of the mare spans more than 2,700 Km².




Figure 6. Spectral indexes used in this work. (a) Band center at 1 μm , longer wavelengths suggest the presence of pyroxene and olivine. (b) RGB composite highlighting the presence of anorthosite in blue, pyroxene in green, and olivine in red. (c) RGB composite that highlights pyroxene in red, spinel in green-yellow, and anorthosite in light blue.

4.1.2. Regional Geostratigraphic Mapping

The regional geostratigraphic map can be seen in Figure 5 (1:100,000 scale). Because of its location at the rim of the Serenitatis basin, the area is largely dominated by highlands and mare units. The igneous units related to the intrusive system are concentrated toward the middle of the area and will be analyzed further in the detailed mapping section.

4.1.2.1. Highlands/Rim Units

The highlands units make up the rim of the basin, which are characterized by an elevated topography, bright color in optical data, a strong signal of plagioclase in the Christiansen feature (Figure S1 in Supporting Information S1), and the relative absence of mafic minerals in the spectral indexes derived from M^3 (Figure 6a). The most extended unit is represented by Hilly materials (*Ihim*), characterized by an abrupt topography and blocky appearance. The main mineral signature is anorthosite, but some escarps suggest the presence of olivine in the olivine-detecting index (Figure 6b). This unit probably originated as part of the impact ejecta linked to the formation of the basin. The other unit in this group is Hummocky material (*Ihum*), which is differentiated from *Ihim* only by its lower topography and a more hummocky texture. According to the global map of Fortezzo et al. (2020), both units are Imbrian to age, although they may be older.

An additional highlands unit is represented by Kipuka (*Ik*), which refers to blocks of highlands material that were embedded by mare units, like islands, so their optical and compositional properties are the same as other highlands units. Wöhler and Lena (2009) classified all the structures inside and around V1 as kipukas, but in this work, we separated them between kipukas and secondary intrusive domes.

4.1.2.2. Mare Units

The mare units in the area represent three different phases of lava flooding, which together are the most extensive units in the study area. Although the intrusive domes were mapped as distinct units for clarity, it is important to mention that the intrusive rocks most likely did not reach the surface, so the mare units represent the actual composition of the domes at the surface.

Apart from some slight color changes, the three units are all dark-toned, flat, and have a smooth texture. Nevertheless, the phases show variations in composition and age, which were the criteria used to differentiate them. The younger unit is Mare material 1 (*Em1*), which is located on the western edge of the basin. It has an estimated age of 2.9 Ga according to Fortezzo et al. (2020) and Hiesinger et al. (2011), but we obtained instead an Absolute Model Age (AMA) of 2.59 ± 0.3 Ga, using the crater-counting method. Compositionally, it is dominated by a strong signal of mafic minerals (Figure 6c). The V2 dome is intruding below this unit, as well as the western part of V1. Unit Mare material 2 (*Im2*) differs from the other two due to a relatively higher concentration of spinel (Figure 6c). An AMA of 3.32 ± 0.1 Ga was estimated for this unit (Figure 12). This unit extends over most of the area on top of V1. Finally, the older flood basalt in the region is represented by Mare material 3 (*Im3*), this unit covers the northeast part of the area and it is spectrally similar to *Em1*. We calculated an Absolute Model Age (AMA) of 3.66 ± 0.01 Ga for this unit (Figure 12), and it manned the entire area of V3.

4.1.2.3. Craters Units

All impact structures in the investigated location are either single simple craters or are arranged in crater chains (Figure 5). Single craters were mapped as two units: the crater floor (Copernican and Eratosthenian, *Ccf*, *Ecf*) unit, and the crater ejecta (*Ece*, *Ece*) unit, when the latter was present. Crater chains were mapped as linear features on the regional scale due to resolution constraints, but as a crater chain (*Ecc*) unit in the detailed map. The relative age of the craters can be estimated by the apparent degradation of their rims and ejecta (Agarwal et al., 2019); therefore, we classified the ones with clear and bright ejecta, and with a sharp rim, as recent, that is Copernican in age; and the ones without ejecta or/and with eroded rims as at least Eratosthenian in age, although they might also be Imbrian.

4.2. Detailed Analysis

We analyzed high-resolution NAC images centered on the intrusive dome area and produced a detailed geostratigraphic map at a scale of 1:25,000 (Figure 7). At this scale, structures related to deformation are also more prominent, which led to a new classification of several volcano-tectonic units. Highlands, mare, and crater units are also represented in the area, so their definition is the same as in the regional mapping.

4.2.1. Volcano-Tectonic Units

We consider volcano-tectonic units to be those structures that were formed by deformation and fractures triggered by any kind of igneous activity (Azzaro, 2012). All the units are located inside the mare, so their composition is the same as the overlying lava flows. The most common volcano-tectonic units are wrinkle ridges (*Iwr*), which appear as elongated sinuous ridges inside the mare. Their origin has been attributed to the cooling of lava and the subsequent thermal contraction phase (Watters, 1988), which produced small ridges surrounded by thrust faults. Another common structure in the mare is grabens, linear depressions on the surface that can be formed by either stalled dykes or by extensional stress (Head & Wilson, 2017). Those created using the latter process were mapped as structural graben (*Isg*) units. Finally, a pair of structural hills (*Ish*) were found close to the end of the rille that crosses V1, and next to an incipient dome (*Eind*) unit (Figure 8a). These hills are polygonal mesas that are surrounded by steep scarps. Their origin is probably related to the deformation produced by an underlying intrusion, consistent with their closeness to the incipient dome.




Figure 7. Detailed geostratigraphic map of the area. The boundaries of the mapped area correspond to the geographical extent of the relevant Narrow Angle Camera images.

4.2.2. Igneous Units

The igneous units in the area originated from both intrusive and extrusive activity. Among the extrusive ones, we found a few volcanic vents (*Evv*). These are irregular and oval structures, with gently elevated boundaries encircling an inner depression (Figure 8b). They are not spectrally distinct from the mare, and we found no anomalies in either the band area or band asymmetry at the 1 μm band, which is found in glass-bearing compositions (Figures 9a and 9b) (Horgan et al., 2014). This lack of contrast with the surrounding mare means that there are no recent pyroclastic deposits around the vents, indicating that they probably have not been active in recent geological times. Other extrusive features are dykes (*Ed*), which are vertical intrusions that reach the surface close to V1 and V2, creating linear ridges that cut across different mare units. Their spectral signature differs from those of the mare units, as the absorption features of the mafic minerals appear weaker, probably due to a lower concentration of these minerals or some variations in the maturity of these materials (Figures 9c and 9d). This type of spectral signature is common to other secondary structures found near the main domes. Dykes




Figure 8. (a) Structural hill, a polygonal structure at the end of the main rille. (b) Possibly a volcanic vent, characterized by its elongated shape and the absence of a defined rim. (c) The largest rille in the area. It begins at the northwest corner of V1 and disappears at its center, and reappears to the southeast. Once the rille ends, a scarp continues to the southeast for another 8 km.




Figure 9. (a), (b) The volcanic vent does not spectrally differ from the surrounding mare in the band area at 1 μm , which implies that the vent has not erupted in recent times. (c), (d) The band center at 1 μm of the dyke is lower, which implies there is a smaller abundance of mafic minerals or a difference in soil maturity. (e), (f) The walls of the main rille have a strong absorption in the band depth at 1 μm , which implies that the rocks are fresher.

also influence the formation of rilles (*Er*), which represent grabens created by the extensional stress generated by a dyke that stalled near the surface (e.g., Head & Wilson, 2017). The larger rille in the area spans more than 50 km and runs from the rim of the basin to the *Eind* unit, crossing V1 and other secondary structures (Figure 8c). We also found a shorter rille (30 km) atop V3. The walls of the rilles have a similar spectrum to the mare, but the absorption of mafic minerals is much stronger (Figures 9e and 9f). This is the result of fresher materials and minerals being exposed by the rille.

Of the three main domes (*Ev2*, *Ev2*, *Ev3*), V1 and V2 contain several smaller structures on top of them. These structures were originally classified as kipukas by Wöhler & Lena, 2009, but we found some that do not fit the description. A couple of actual kipukas (*Ik*) were located at the southern limit of V1 and in the middle of V2 (Figure 10a); they are characterized by an irregular shape and by having a spectral signature similar to the units in the highlands. The other structures were classified as secondary intrusive domes (*Esd1*, *Esd2*, *Esd3*, *Esd4*): they are round-shaped, aligned to each other, lie near the main rille, and none of them show a volcanic crater on the summit (Figures 10b–10e). They are all located inside or near V1, and none around the other domes. The spectral signature of the exposed materials is similar to the highlands, but they show a higher band depth and a centering at longer wavelengths around the 1 μm band. Both parameters imply a higher abundance of mafic minerals compared to the rim units *Ihum* and *Ihim* (e.g., Adams, 1974; Klima et al., 2011).

4.3. Structural Considerations

The rilles, wrinkle ridges, and grabens that were too small to be represented as units were mapped as linear features (Figure 7). Regardless of the size, local wrinkle ridges have a preferential NE-SW trend, which is aligned with the regional pattern of bigger structures. The area around V1 is heavily fractured due to a network of rilles and faults that developed on top of it. Two compressional structures were found. The first one is a major thrust fault that limits the eastern flank of V1, creating a scarp that reaches 60 m in height. The most significant uplift occurs toward the middle of the dome, where it is cut by the main rille; while to the north and south, the scarp gradually fades, becoming almost indistinguishable from the mare. The scarp is also displaced horizontally when it meets the main rille, which is caused by a strike-slip fault that developed at this location (Figure 11). The second important thrust fault was found to the east of V1, following the end of the main rille, linked to a 15 km long scarp and the structural hills of the unit *Esh*.

Grabens and rilles are the result of extensional stress, and they dominate the area following two main directional trends. The main rille cuts the dome in the NW-SE direction, similar to the trend of other smaller fractures. The middle section of the main rille is not completely developed (thin section of the rille on top of V1 in Figure 7); instead, an incipient and long normal fault connects the more developed portions of the rille to the north and south. Another major deformation occurs in an almost perpendicular direction, where two minor rilles run from the southern half of V2 to intercept the main rille, following an NE-SW trend.

4.4. Crater Size-Frequency Distribution

It was difficult to establish the relative age of the domes since the material covering the intrusions corresponds to the flood basalts that fill the basin, making a standard crater counting technique not viable since it would only retrieve the age of the latest lava flow event. Nevertheless, an alternative method known as buffer crater counting can be applied to linear features (Fassett & Head, 2008; Tanaka, 1982), and the rilles cutting V1 and V2 are long enough to conduct this analysis. The method consists of counting the craters that intersect the linear feature in question; given that this produces too few data points, we also counted the craters whose ejecta also overlap the linear features. The total area of the intersecting craters was buffered around the linear feature, and the age was computed using the CraterStats software (Kneissl et al., 2015; Michael, 2021). We also applied the usual crater counting technique to the flood lava on top of both domes. The mapped areas and the resulting ages can be seen in Figure 12.

We estimated ages of 2.59 ± 0.3 and 3.32 ± 0.1 Ga for the units *Em1* and *Im2*, respectively (both mantling V1) (Figures 12b and 12c), while for the unit *Im3* (mantling V3), we calculated an age of 3.66 ± 0.01 Ga (Figure 12d). These estimations disagree with those of Hiesinger et al. (2011), probably due to the far smaller area comprised in our analysis. Still, the stratigraphic relationships between the units remain the same; the materials on top of V3 (*Im3*) are older than those over V1 (*Im1* and *Im2*). Although these ages do not correspond to the intrusion of the domes, they put an upper limit on their formation. The lower limit is given by the ages of the buffered crater




Figure 10. Type of secondary structures inside V1. (a) Kipukas, remnants of ancient ejecta embedded in the mare, and a dyke that intruded the west part of the group. (b) Secondary dome *Esd1*, a round and bright structure superimposed to the main rille crossing V1, implying a younger age. (c) Secondary dome *Esd2*, irregularly shaped, it is the biggest of these structures. (d) One dome of the *Esd3* units, smaller than the previous ones, with a similar tonality to the surrounding mare. (e) A group of four domes of the *Esd4* unit, they are small hills nearly indistinguishable from their surroundings.




Figure 11. Structural features of V1. (a) The east flank of V1 is a scarp up to 60 m high; this section is uplifted by a thrust fault. (b) When the mentioned scarp crosses the main linear rille, the feature is translated by a dextral strike-slip fault. South of the rille, the scarp is still visible, but it is smaller.

counting, which gives 1.88 ± 0.5 and 2.06 ± 0.4 Ga for the two parts of the main rille cutting V1, and 1.81 ± 0.3 Ga for the small rille on V3.

5. Discussion

5.1. Kipukas Versus Secondary Domes

The origin of the secondary structures on top and around V1 has major implications for the geological history of the area. If they were kipukas, as suggested by Wöhler & Lena, 2009, then the igneous rocks of the intrusive system never reached the surface, contrary to the scenario of them being secondary domes. The criteria to differentiate them were both geomorphological and spectral. The shape of the structures can be classified between irregular and oval-shaped. The irregular ones have a sharp contact with the mare units, and internally they consist of scattered hills. Their texture resembles the rim units, and if they originated as ejecta, it would be consistent with their lack of orientation (Figure 10a). The oval-shaped structures are round and dome-like, have diffused contacts with the mare units, and have an average height of 100 m, which is lower than the irregular-shaped structures (~250 m) (Figures 10b–10e). Some are aligned along two preferential directions, while the non-aligned ones lie on top or near the main rille. These properties, and their clustering near V1, suggest that the oval-shaped structures originated from an igneous process. The lack of pyroclasts and craters on their summits indicates that they are not cinder cones, as would be the case for structures in other lunar locations (Henderson et al., 2023).

Spectral analysis was useful to further discriminate between these structures (Figure 13). We produced an RGB composite where the red channel represents the spectral slope at $1 \mu\text{m}$, the green channel the band center at $1 \mu\text{m}$, and the blue channel the band depth at $2 \mu\text{m}$ (Figure 13a). This representation highlights the differences between the types of secondary structures, as both

the kipukas (*Ik*) and the rim units (*Ihim*) are represented by the same orange color, while the secondary intrusive domes are seen in various pink tonalities. Furthermore, color differences were noticeable between the secondary domes. A dome directly associated with the linear rille appears in bright pink (*Esd1*), the larger dome in the area has a red-yellow tone (*Esd2*), a set of domes aligned with a NE-SW trend are pale pink (*Esd3*), and the final group is aligned in an NW-SE trend and has a pink-yellow color (*Esd4*).

We also compared the spectral signatures of every type of secondary structure, as well as the background mare (*Im2*). The spectra were analyzed after removing the continuum from the signal (Figure 13b). The weaker absorptions are found in the *Ik* and *Ihim* units, indicating a lower abundance of mafic minerals, and further suggesting the same genesis for both units. The unit *Im2* shows strong absorptions at 1 and $2 \mu\text{m}$, typical of pyroxene-rich basalts. All the spectra of the domes lie between those two types of signatures, suggesting intermediate abundances of mafic minerals. Also, the band center of the signatures from domes is located at shorter wavelengths than those of the *Im2* unit, which suggests a higher concentration of Mg-rich pyroxenes (Klima et al., 2011). The units *Esd1* and *Esd2*, especially the latter, have intermediate absorption at $1 \mu\text{m}$ and a strong absorption at $2 \mu\text{m}$, this anomaly is probably related to the presence of spinel, which has a strong absorption around $2 \mu\text{m}$, enhancing the typical absorption of pyroxenes (Moriarty et al., 2023). The units *Esd3* and *Esd4* have a lower reflectance than the other domes near the visible range and at $1.5 \mu\text{m}$, suggesting they have a higher maturity than the other domes, and thus may be older (Lucey et al., 2000).

To confirm that our proposed secondary domes are different from the kipukas and the rim units, we conducted a clustering analysis. Since these structures are small at the spatial resolution of M^3 , only a few pixels can be sampled for each unit. Therefore, we opted for a direct comparison between the distribution of the band centers of the units, to check if they followed any distinct pattern. We plotted the band center at $1 \mu\text{m}$ versus the band center at $2 \mu\text{m}$ for the areas highlighted in Figure 13a, each plot contains the scatter of the rim unit *Ihim* versus one of the secondary structures (Figures 13c–13e). Since the band center is strongly related to composition (e.g., Adams, 1974), we would expect a strong clustering of the points if the composition of both units were similar.




Figure 12. Crater counting analysis. (a) The two areas where the standard crater counting was carried out are shown in white and the craters counted inside in light blue; the analyzed linear features are pink, and the craters that cut them are green. (b) and (c) the ages of the mare on top of V1 and V2. (d), (e), (f) the age of the linear features using the buffered crater counting method.

Figure 13c plots the distribution of the units *Ihim* and *Esd3*, although some pixels of both units overlap (black), the majority of the points of *Ihim* are clustered at shorter band centers (green), and the ones of *Esd3* are concentrated at longer band centers (blue). This indicates that this set of secondary domes is spectrally different from the rim material, further pointing to a difference in their origin. A similar result was found for *Ihim* and *Esd4* (Figure 13d). On the other hand, the same exercise for the *Ik* and *Ihim* units returned a different result (Figure 13e); in this case, the points for both units are scattered with no clear clusters, so the units cannot be spectrally differentiated. From this analysis, we concluded that the *Ik* unit is indeed compositionally closer to the rim units, and probably genetically unrelated to other secondary structures.

5.2. Geologic Evolution

The reconstruction of events in the region had to rely on both the estimation of the formation ages and the stratigraphic relationships between units (Figure 14). Given the relatively small geographical scale of some units, such as the secondary domes, the crater-counting approach does not fit the task. Furthermore, some of the domes are concentrated in small areas, so it was not possible to establish clear stratigraphic relationships. In those cases, their formation times were constrained by contextual geological information, as well as the local geological setting.




Figure 13. (a) RGB composite showing spectral differences between the groups of domes (*Esd1*, *Esd2*, *Esd3*, *Esd4*), kipukas (*Ik*), rim unit (*Ihim*), and mare (*Im2*). (b) Plot of the spectra of the different units after the continuum removal, the areas sampled are highlighted by black circles in Figure 13a. The weaker absorptions are found in the *Ihim* and *Ik* units, while the strongest are in the *Esd2*, *Esd3* and *Im2* units. (c) Plot of the band center at 1 μm versus the band center at 2 μm for the pixels inside the black circles in Figure 13a, the contours in the background account for the density of pixels plotted. The plot of the band centers for the *Ihim* and *Esd2* units shows two clear clusters (cyan and green dots), even if there is some overlap between units (black dots). (d) The plot of the *Ihim* and *Esd4* units shows a similar result. (e) In the case of the *Ihim* and *Ik*, there are no clear clusters of points, so they are not mineralogically distinguishable.

The first recognizable event was the formation of the Serenitatis Basin. Previous authors tried to associate ages obtained from the radiometric dating of lunar samples with the formation of the basin: Černok et al. (2021) proposed an age of 4.2 Ga, while Spudis et al. (2011) gave a lower limit at 3.8 Ga. Its formation is attributed to the




Figure 14. Geological evolution of the area. The Serenitatis basin and its rim were formed by a meteorite impact, which created the rim units and kipukas, and triggered the emplacement of flood lava. The main domes intruded after the last flood event and the formation of the main rilles, which was followed by the formation of dykes, secondary domes and smaller rilles. Finally, asteroid impacts have occurred throughout time.

impact of a large asteroid, which created the impact basin, and excavated the ejecta that made up the rim units *Ihim* and *Ihum*, as well as the kipukas (*Ik*) protruding from the mare infill. Another consequence of the impact was the thinning and fracturing of the crust, which subsequently allowed the emplacement of extended flood basalts derived from mantle materials (e.g., Geiss & Rossi, 2013; Van Dorn, 1969). In our study area, this is represented by three flows: first *Im3*, which was emplaced around 3.66 ± 0.01 Ga, then *Im2*, emplaced around 3.32 ± 0.1 Ga, and finally *Em1*, which was dated at 2.59 ± 0.3 Ga. The formation of wrinkle ridges (*Iwr*) and structural grabens (*Isg*) is related to the thermal evolution of the flood basalts (Watters, 1988), which started as soon as the first lava flow began to cool down and continued until the last pulse reached thermal stability. The pair of volcanic vents found in the region (*Ev*) could have formed as early as the emplacement of the first lava pulse, but they might also be related to the later emplacement of the intrusive domes. The latter scenario seems less likely since the vents do not share spectral or structural properties with the intrusive system.

The emplacement of the large domes *Ev1*, *Ev2*, and *Ev3* is related to the intrusion of a large igneous complex below the area. The location of the intrusive system near the rim of the basin suggests that the upwelling magma was transported along the large annular faults typically formed at the edges of the lunar impact basins (Collins et al., 2023). The area enclosing the three domes is also slightly elevated compared to their surroundings, this uplift cross flood basalts of different ages, thus, it likely originated from the underlying intrusive system. The domes have an asymmetric shape, low topography, and sometimes faulted scarps, which are typical properties of

laccoliths (Schofield et al., 2021). However, these structures prove difficult to date since a crater-counting approach only produces an AMA of the covering flood lava. We propose that they formed after all the lava events occurred (after 2.98 Ga), since the three mare units are uplifted by at least one dome. We also know that they predate, or at least formed synchronously with the large rilles (*Er*) on top of V1 and V2. These linear features are the result of intruding dykes stalled near the surface, which were also fed by the larger intrusive body. The rilles are dated between 1.81 ± 0.3 and 2.06 ± 0.4 Ga, which would also be the lower limit to the formation of the laccoliths. At least V1 continued to rise after this time, since the main rille is slightly uplifted where it crosses the thrust fault limiting V1 to the east. The secondary domes *Esd1*, *Esd2*, *Esd3*, and *Esd4* are small intrusions likely related to intruding dykes. Even if only *Esd1* were superimposed on the main rille, the alignment of the other sets of domes points to a similar origin. This means that these later domes formed after 1.88 ± 0.5 Ga. We know that the four groups are mineralogically different, meaning that they formed from different sources of magma and probably at different times. Nevertheless, we could not establish stratigraphic relationships between them to further discriminate their formation sequences. Our mapping suggests that *Esd1* and *Esd2* are younger, due to their sharp borders and higher reflectance in the visible range.

5.3. Potential Reservoirs

On Earth, intrusive bodies represent important reservoirs of mineral resources. Some minerals are hosted in the intrusive body itself, such as the Platinum Groups Elements (PGE); an example is the Stillwater complex in the United States (Lightfoot & Evans-Lamswood, 2015; Page, 1977). Other resources are generated by the interaction of the intrusion with external factors, such as the formation of skarns in the Yeshan deposit in China, due to contact metamorphism between the intrusion and the host rock (Zhao et al., 2022). There is a potential for the Valentine domes and other secondary domes to host minerals of interest for the upcoming lunar exploration. However, there are some differences between the intrusions on the Earth and those on the Moon. The lunar crust and mantle are at least moderately depleted of water (Hauri et al., 2015); this greatly inhibits the mobilization of minerals hosted in the intrusion to the areas with contact metamorphism and beyond, which is the main mechanism of mineral concentration in these systems. This makes it less likely that skarn-like or hydrothermal-related deposits could have developed on the Moon. Another important difference is that the dominant composition of the lunar rocks is basaltic, as in the case of the Valentine domes; consequently, deposits related to felsic intrusions would not be common, apart from some specific cases like the Gruithuisen domes (e.g., Braden & Robinson, 2011). Given this context, mineralizations in lunar intrusions, if present, would be more like those where the ore minerals are hosted inside the intruding mafic rocks themselves, like the Stillwater complex or the great Zimbabwe dyke (Wilson, 1996). Commonly, valuable materials accumulate in those settings, especially iron, PGE, and chromite. The main dome of the Valentine system has an interesting feature in the thrust fault that defines its eastern flank; intrusive rocks may be outcropping along the hanging block of the thrust, facilitating access to the intruding rocks. Furthermore, the fault itself and the network of fractures associated with it can act as weak areas where minerals can be hosted. Finally, although the data of M^3 is good enough to analyze the general mineralogy of a region, data sets with higher resolution would be necessary to address the real potential of ore minerals in these systems, as well as the recovery of physical samples that could be analyzed in the laboratory.

6. Conclusions

Intrusive domes are probably one of the least studied landforms on the Moon. This is because intrusive processes are hard to observe due to their subtle effects on the surface. We found that given their low topography, large extent, and small slope, the aspect map is a key product to help identify them. This product clearly shows the direction of the slope, indifferent to its magnitude, thus accentuating the physical boundaries of the domes. We are confident that there is still a considerable number of intrusive domes that have not been identified in remote sensing data. A closer observation of high-resolution DEMs and aspect maps may reveal new domes hidden in plain sight, like V3.

Intrusive domes are medium-sized structures that tend to occur in clusters, making the use of low-resolution data to study them limiting. Some data sets such as the gravitational anomaly map derived from GRAIL are too coarse to retrieve meaningful information, but products with a medium resolution such as M^3 can be useful. Even if a spectral analysis of an intrusive dome will mainly return information on the covering units, some information can be derived from secondary structures. In the case of the Valentine system, the secondary structures were too small to use the spectral data of M^3 as the primary means of classification. Nevertheless, with the aid of previously

defined geomorphological units, it was possible to spot and contextualize clear differences between units using spectral indexes and clustering spectral parameters.

The intrusive system beneath the Valentine dome is bigger than previously estimated; the newly discovered dome V3 is the biggest in the system, and together with V1, they are part of a larger uplifted region. Some of the secondary structures on top of V1 are morphologically and compositionally different from the rim units, suggesting they are not kipukas; they are also aligned with each other and with the main rille on V1, which we propose was formed by a dyke stalled near the surface. Even though the compositional information about the secondary domes is not conclusive, we believe that there is enough evidence to consider them as also igneous in origin. These structures record the history of an intrusive system that was active for several million years, after 2.98 ± 0.15 Ga and at least until 1.88 ± 0.5 Ga ago. The number of secondary domes, fault systems, and dyke networks interacting within the Valentine domes makes this location one of the most intriguing intrusive systems on the Moon, and thus an attractive target for future exploration.

Data Availability Statement

The raw data sets used in this work (NAC and M³), can be accessed through the PDS (Malaret, 2011; Robinson, 2009). The *MoonIndex* software is available for Python 3.10 and higher in the PyPI repository. The source code, exemplary Jupyter notebooks, definition of functions, and workflows can be accessed via GitHub and Zenodo (Suárez-Valencia, 2024). The processed geospatial data set, QGIS project, and final maps can also be accessed via Zenodo (Suárez-Valencia & Rossi, 2024).

Acknowledgments

We thank the reviewers for their detailed comments and suggestions, which helped us to greatly improve the manuscript. This research was carried out within the framework of the EXPLORE project, which received funding from the European Union's 2020 research and innovation program under Grant 101004214. Additionally, we had support from the GMAP project, part of the Europlanet 2024 RI that has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under Grant 871149.

References

- Adams, J. B. (1974). Visible and near-infrared diffuse reflectance spectra of pyroxenes as applied to remote sensing of solid objects in the solar system. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 79(32), 4829–4836. <https://doi.org/10.1029/JB079i032p04829>
- Agarwal, N., Haridas, A., Khanna, N., Srivastava, P., & Jain, V. (2019). Study of morphology and degradation of lunar craters using Chandrayaan-1 data. *Planetary and Space Science*, 167, 42–53. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pss.2019.01.003>
- Arnold, J. A., Glotch, T. D., Lucey, P. G., Song, E., Thomas, I. R., Bowles, N. E., & Greenhagen, B. T. (2016). Constraints on olivine-rich rock types on the Moon as observed by Diviner and M 3: Implications for the formation of the lunar crust: Constraints on olivine-rich rock types on the moon. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Planets*, 121(7), 1342–1361. <https://doi.org/10.1002/2015JE004874>
- Azzaro, R. (2012). The volcano-tectonic map of Etna volcano, 1:100.000 scale: An integrated approach based on a morphotectonic analysis from high-resolution DEM constrained by geologic, active faulting and seismotectonic data. *Italian Journal of Geosciences*, 131(1), 153–170. <https://doi.org/10.3301/IJG.2011.29>
- Barker, M. K., Mazarico, E., Neumann, G. A., Zuber, M. T., Haruyama, J., & Smith, D. E. (2016). A new lunar digital elevation model from the Lunar Orbiter Laser Altimeter and SELENE Terrain Camera. *Icarus*, 273, 346–355. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.icarus.2015.07.039>
- Beyer, R. A., Alexandrov, O., & McMichael, S. (2018). The ames stereo pipeline: NASA's open source software for deriving and processing terrain data. *Earth and Space Science*, 5(9), 537–548. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2018EA000409>
- Braden, S. E., & Robinson, M. S. (2011). Human exploration of the gruithuisen domes. In W. B. Garry & J. E. Bleacher (Eds.), *Analogs for planetary exploration*. Geological Society of America. [https://doi.org/10.1130/2011.2483\(30\)](https://doi.org/10.1130/2011.2483(30))
- Bretzfelder, J. M., Klima, R. L., Greenhagen, B. T., Buczkowski, D. L., Petro, N. E., & Day, M. (2020). Identification of potential mantle rocks around the lunar imbrim basin. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 47(22), e2020GL090334. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2020GL090334>
- Canale, M., Wright, J., & Rothery, D. A. (2024). A hybrid geological map of Sibelius Crater on Mercury, and its associated ejecta and impact melt deposits. *Geological Society, London, Special Publications*, 541(1), 153–180. <https://doi.org/10.1144/SP541-2022-296>
- Černok, A., White, L. F., Anand, M., Tait, K. T., Darling, J. R., Whitehouse, M., et al. (2021). Lunar samples record an impact 4.2 billion years ago that may have formed the Serenitatis Basin. *Communications Earth & Environment*, 2(1), 120. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s43247-021-00181-z>
- Collins, M. S., Byrne, P. K., Klimczak, C., & Mazarico, E. (2023). Thrust faults bound an elevated mantle plug beneath several lunar basins. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Planets*, 128(1), e2022JE007682. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2022JE007682>
- Fassett, C. I., & Head, J. W. (2008). The timing of martian valley network activity: Constraints from buffered crater counting. *Icarus*, 195(1), 61–89. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.icarus.2007.12.009>
- Figuera, R. M., Pham Huu, B., Rossi, A. P., Minin, M., Flahaut, J., & Halder, A. (2018). Online characterization of planetary surfaces: PlanetServer, an open-source analysis and visualization tool. *Planetary and Space Science*, 150, 141–156. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pss.2017.09.007>
- Florinsky, I. V. (2012). Morphometric variables. In *Digital terrain analysis in soil science and geology* (pp. 7–30). Elsevier. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-385036-2.00002-X>
- Fortezzo, C. M., Spudis, P. D., & Harel, S. L. (2020). Unified geologic map of the moon [map]. *Astrogeology*. https://astrogeology.usgs.gov/search/map/Moon/Geology/Unified_Geologic_Map_of_the_Moon_GIS_v2
- Garfinkle, R. A. (2020). Observing Lunar Rilles, Rupes, and Vallis. In R. A. Garfinkle, *Luna Cognita* (pp. 941–954). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4939-1664-1_25
- Geiss, J., & Rossi, A. P. (2013). On the chronology of lunar origin and evolution: Implications for Earth, Mars and the Solar System as a whole. *Astronomy and Astrophysics Review*, 21(1), 68. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00159-013-0068-1>
- Goossens, S., Fernández Mora, Á., Heijkoop, E., & Sabaka, T. J. (2021). Patched local lunar gravity solutions using GRAIL data. *Earth and Space Science*, 8(11), e2021EA001695. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2021EA001695>
- Graham, R. L. (1972). An efficient algorithm for determining the convex hull of a finite planar set. *Information Processing Letters*, 1(4), 132–133. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0020-0190\(72\)90045-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/0020-0190(72)90045-2)

- Green, R. O., Pieters, C., Mouroulis, P., Eastwood, M., Boardman, J., Glavich, T., et al. (2011). The Moon Mineralogy Mapper (M3) imaging spectrometer for lunar science: Instrument description, calibration, on-orbit measurements, science data calibration and on-orbit validation. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 116, E00G19. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2011JE003797>
- Gustafson, J. O., Bell, J. F., Gaddis, L. R., Hawke, B. R., & Giguere, T. A. (2012). Characterization of previously unidentified lunar pyroclastic deposits using Lunar Reconnaissance Orbiter Camera data. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 117(E12), 2011JE003893. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2011JE003893>
- Hackman, R. J. (1966). *Geologic map of the Montes Apenninus region on the Moon [Map]*. USGS.
- Hartmann, W. K., & Wood, C. A. (1971). Moon: Origin and evolution of multi-ring basins. *The Moon*, 3(1), 3–78. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00620390>
- Hauri, E. H., Saal, A. E., Rutherford, M. J., & Van Orman, J. A. (2015). Water in the Moon's interior: Truth and consequences. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 409, 252–264. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2014.10.053>
- Head, J. W., & Wilson, L. (2017). Generation, ascent and eruption of magma on the Moon: New insights into source depths, magma supply, intrusions and effusive/explosive eruptions (Part 2: Predicted emplacement processes and observations). *Icarus*, 283, 176–223. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.icarus.2016.05.031>
- Henderson, M. J. B., Horgan, B. H. N., Lawrence, S. J., Stopar, J. D., & Gaddis, L. R. (2023). Mineralogy of explosive and effusive volcanic edifices in the Marius Hills Volcanic Complex. *Icarus*, 404, 115628. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.icarus.2023.115628>
- Hiesinger, H., Head, J. W., Wolf, U., Jaumann, R., & Neukum, G. (2011). Ages and stratigraphy of lunar mare basalts: A synthesis. In En W. A. Ambrose & D. A. Williams (Eds.), *Recent advances and current research issues in lunar stratigraphy*. Geological Society of America. [https://doi.org/10.1130/2011.2477\(01](https://doi.org/10.1130/2011.2477(01)
- Horgan, B. H. N., Cloutis, E. A., Mann, P., & Bell, J. F. (2014). Near-infrared spectra of ferrous mineral mixtures and methods for their identification in planetary surface spectra. *Icarus*, 234, 132–154. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.icarus.2014.02.031>
- Huang, J., Xiao, L., He, X., Qiao, L., Zhao, J., & Li, H. (2011). Geological characteristics and model ages of Marius Hills on the Moon. *Journal of Earth Science*, 22(5), 601–609. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12583-011-0211-8>
- Kerr, A. D., & Pollard, D. D. (1998). Toward more realistic formulations for the analysis of laccoliths. *Journal of Structural Geology*, 20(12), 1783–1793. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0191-8141\(98\)00071-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0191-8141(98)00071-6)
- Klima, R. L., Pieters, C. M., Boardman, J. W., Green, R. O., Head, J. W., Isaacson, P. J., et al. (2011). New insights into lunar petrology: Distribution and composition of prominent low-Ca pyroxene exposures as observed by the Moon Mineralogy Mapper (M³). *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 116, E00G06. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2010JE003719>
- Kneissl, T., Michael, G. G., Platz, T., & Walter, S. H. G. (2015). Age determination of linear surface features using the Buffered Crater Counting approach – Case studies of the Sirenum and Fortuna Fossae graben systems on Mars. *Icarus*, 250, 384–394. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.icarus.2014.12.008>
- Kneissl, T., Van Gasselt, S., & Neukum, G. (2011). Map-projection-independent crater size-frequency determination in GIS environments—New software tool for ArcGIS. *Planetary and Space Science*, 59(11–12), 1243–1254. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pss.2010.03.015>
- Laul, J. C., & Schmitt, E. A. (1973). Chemical composition of Luna 20 rocks and soil and Apollo 16 soils. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 37(4), 927–942. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0016-7037\(73\)90190-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/0016-7037(73)90190-7)
- Laura, J., Acosta, A., Addair, T., Adoram-Kershner, L., Alexander, J., Alexandrov, O., et al. (2023). Integrated software for imagers and spectrometers (7.2.0_RC1) [Software]. Zenodo. <https://doi.org/10.5281/ZENODO.2563341>
- Lena, R., Wöhler, C., Phillips, J., & Chiochetta, M. T. (2013). *Lunar domes*. Springer. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-88-470-2637-7>
- Lightfoot, P. C., & Evans-Lamswood, D. (2015). Structural controls on the primary distribution of mafic-ultramafic intrusions containing Ni–Cu–Co–(PGE) sulfide mineralization in the roots of large igneous provinces. *Ore Geology Reviews*, 64, 354–386. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.oregeorev.2014.07.010>
- Liu, T., Michael, G., Zuschneid, W., Wünnemann, K., & Oberst, J. (2021). Lunar megaregolith mixing by impacts: Evaluation of the non-mare component of mare soils. *Icarus*, 358, 114206. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.icarus.2020.114206>
- Lucey, P. G., Blewett, D. T., Taylor, G. J., & Hawke, B. R. (2000). Imaging of lunar surface maturity. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 105(E8), 20377–20386. <https://doi.org/10.1029/1999JE001110>
- Lucey, P. G., Greenhagen, B., Donaldson Hanna, K., Bowles, N., Flom, A., & Paige, D. A. (2021). Christiansen feature map from the lunar reconnaissance orbiter diviner lunar radiometer experiment: Improved corrections and derived mineralogy. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Planets*, 126(6), e2020JE006777. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2020JE006777>
- Malaret, (2011). CH1-ORB Moon M3 4 L2 reflectance near-ir spectral imgs V1.0 [Dataset]. NASA Planetary Data System. <https://doi.org/10.17189/1520414>
- Massironi, M., Rossi, A. P., Wright, J., Zambon, F., Poehler, C., Giacomini, L., et al. (2021). From morpho-stratigraphic to geo(spectro)-stratigraphic units: The PLANMAP contribution. *Planetary Geologic Mappers*.
- McCallum, I. S., & Schwartz, J. M. (2001). Lunar Mg suite: Thermobarometry and petrogenesis of parental magmas. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 106(E11), 27969–27983. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2000JE001397>
- McCauley, J. F. (1967). *Geologic map of the Hevelius region of the moon* (p. 491). U.S. Geological Survey Report.
- Michael, G. G. (2021). CraterStats (2.0). [Python]. GitHub. <https://github.com/ggmichael/craterstats#BSD-3-Clause-1-ov-file>
- Miljković, K., Wiczorek, M. A., Collins, G. S., Solomon, S. C., Smith, D. E., & Zuber, M. T. (2015). Excavation of the lunar mantle by basin-forming impact events on the Moon. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 409, 243–251. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2014.10.041>
- Moriarty, D. P., Simon, S. B., Shearer, C. K., Haggerty, S. E., Petro, N., & Li, S. (2023). Orbital characterization of the composition and distribution of spinels across the crisis region: Insight from Luna 20 samples. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Planets*, 128(5), e2022JE007482. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2022JE007482>
- Neukum, G., Ivanov, B. A., & Hartmann, W. K. (2001). Cratering records in the inner solar system in relation to the lunar reference system. *Space Science Reviews*, 96(1/4), 55–86. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1011989004263>
- Page, N. J. (1977). Stillwater complex, Montana: Rock succession, metamorphism and structure of the complex and adjacent rocks (Professional Paper) [Professional Paper].
- Papike, J. J., Ryder, G., & Shearer, C. K. (1998). Lunar samples. *Reviews in Mineralogy and Geochemistry*, 36(5), 1–234.
- Penasa, L., & Brandt, C. H. (2021). eurolplanet-gmap/mappy: Latest (latest) [Software]. Zenodo. <https://doi.org/10.5281/ZENODO.5233646>
- Robinson. (2009). LRO MOON LROC 2 EDR V1.0 [Dataset]. NASA Planetary Data System. <https://doi.org/10.17189/1520250>
- Robinson, M. S., Brylow, S. M., Tschimmel, M., Humm, D., Lawrence, S. J., Thomas, P. C., et al. (2010). Lunar reconnaissance orbiter camera (LROC) Instrument overview. *Space Science Reviews*, 150(1–4), 81–124. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11214-010-9634-2>
- Rouault, E., Warmerdam, F., Schwehr, K., Kiselev, A., Butler, H., Łoskot, M., et al. (2023). GDAL (v3.7.1) [Software]. Zenodo. <https://doi.org/10.5281/ZENODO.5884351>

- Schofield, N., Stevenson, C., Mark, N., & Holford, S. (2021). Igneous intrusions: Sills, dykes and plutons. In *Encyclopedia of geology* (pp. 313–329). Elsevier. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-08-102908-4.00112-0>
- Scott, D. H., & Eggleton, R. E. (1973). Geologic map of the Rumker quadrangle of the Moon. <https://doi.org/10.3133/i805>
- Shearer, C., Neal, C. R., Glotch, T. D., Prissel, T. C., Bell, A. S., Fernandes, V. A., et al. (2023). 4 magmatic evolution II: A new view of post-differentiation magmatism. In En C. R. Neal, L. R. Gaddis, B. L. Jolliff, S. J. Lawrence, S. J. Mackwell, C. K. Shearer, et al. (Eds.), *New view of the Moon 2* (pp. 147–206). De Gruyter. <https://doi.org/10.1515/9781501519895-007>
- Shearer, C. K. (2006). Thermal and magmatic evolution of the Moon. *Reviews in Mineralogy and Geochemistry*, 60(1), 365–518. <https://doi.org/10.2138/rmg.2006.60.4>
- Shearer, C. K., Elardo, S. M., Petro, N. E., Borg, L. E., & McCubbin, F. M. (2015). Origin of the lunar highlands Mg-suite: An integrated petrology, geochemistry, chronology, and remote sensing perspective. *American Mineralogist*, 100(1), 294–325. <https://doi.org/10.2138/am-2015-4817>
- Snyder, G. A., Taylor, L. A., & Halliday, A. N. (1995). Chronology and petrogenesis of the lunar highlands alkali suite: Cumulates from KREEP basalt crystallization. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 59(6), 1185–1203. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0016-7037\(95\)00034-W](https://doi.org/10.1016/0016-7037(95)00034-W)
- Speyerer, E. J., Robinson, M. S., & Denevi, B. W. (2011). Lunar reconnaissance orbiter camera global morphological map of the moon. *42nd Lunar and Planetary Science Conference*.
- Spudis, P. D., Wilhelms, D. E., & Robinson, M. S. (2011). The Sculptured Hills of the Taurus Highlands: Implications for the relative age of Serenitatis, basin chronologies and the cratering history of the Moon. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 116, E00H03. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2011JE003903>
- Suárez-Valencia, J., & Rossi, A. P. (2024). Supporting material for: Geostratigraphic mapping of the intrusive Valentine Domes on the Moon (1.0) [Dataset]. *Zenodo*. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.10849507>
- Suárez-Valencia, J. E. (2024). Javierunal16/MoonIndex: Second version of the MoonIndex Python package (2.0.2) [Software]. *Zenodo*. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.10820503>
- Suárez-Valencia, J. E., Rossi, A. P., Zambon, F., Carli, C., & Nodjoumi, G. (2024). MoonIndex, an open-source tool to generate spectral indexes for the moon from M³ data. *Earth and Space Science*, 11(6), e2023EA003464. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2023EA003464>
- Tanaka, K. L. (1982). A new time-saving crater-count technique, with application to narrow features. *En NASA Technical Memo*, NASA TM-85127, 123–125. <https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1982pggp.rept..123T>
- Taylor, S. R. (2007). Chapter 12—The moon. In En L.-A. McFadden, P. R. Weissman, & T. V. Johnson (Eds.), *Encyclopedia of the solar system* (2nd ed., pp. 227–250). Academic Press. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12088589-3/50016-5>
- Tognon, G., Zambon, F., Carli, C., Massironi, M., Giacomini, L., Pozzobon, R., et al. (2024). Integrated spectral and compositional analysis for the lunar tsolkovskiy crater. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Planets*, 129(8), e2023JE008272. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2023JE008272>
- Van Dorn, W. G. (1969). Lunar maria: Structure and evolution. *Science*, 165(3894), 693–695. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.165.3894.693>
- Wagner, R. V., & Robinson, M. S. (2014). Distribution, formation mechanisms, and significance of lunar pits. *Icarus*, 237, 52–60. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.icarus.2014.04.002>
- Watters, T. R. (1988). Wrinkle ridge assemblages on the terrestrial planets. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 93(B9), 10236–10254. <https://doi.org/10.1029/JB093iB09p10236>
- Wieczorek, M. A. (2006). The constitution and structure of the lunar interior. *Reviews in Mineralogy and Geochemistry*, 60(1), 221–364. <https://doi.org/10.2138/rmg.2006.60.3>
- Wilson, A. H. (1996). The great dyke of Zimbabwe. In *Developments in petrology* (Vol. 15, pp. 365–402). Elsevier. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-2894\(96\)80013-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-2894(96)80013-3)
- Wilson, L., & Head, J. W. (2017). Generation, ascent and eruption of magma on the Moon: New insights into source depths, magma supply, intrusions and effusive/explosive eruptions (Part 1: Theory). *Icarus*, 283, 146–175. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.icarus.2015.12.039>
- Wöhler, C., & Lena, R. (2009). Lunar intrusive domes: Morphometric analysis and laccolith modelling. *Icarus*, 204(2), 381–398. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.icarus.2009.07.031>
- Wright, J., Zambon, F., Carli, C., Altieri, F., Pöhler, C. M., Rothery, D. A., et al. (2024). A geostratigraphic map of the rachmaninoff basin area: Integrating morphostratigraphic and spectral units on mercury. *Earth and Space Science*, 11(2), e2023EA003258. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2023EA003258>
- Yingst, R. A., Mest, S. C., Brent Garry, W., Williams, D. A., Berman, D. C., & Gregg, T. K. P. (2023). A geologic map of vesta produced using a hybrid method for incorporating spectroscopic and morphologic data. *The Planetary Science Journal*, 4(9), 157. <https://doi.org/10.3847/PSJ/acebe9>
- Yue, Z., Di, K., Wan, W., Liu, Z., Gou, S., Liu, B., et al. (2022). Author Correction: Updated lunar cratering chronology model with the radiometric age of Chang'e-5 samples. *Nature Astronomy*, 6(4), 514. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41550-022-01649-4>
- Zhang, F., Head, J. W., Basilevsky, A. T., Bugiolacchi, R., Komatsu, G., Wilson, L., et al. (2017). Newly discovered ring-moat dome structures in the lunar maria: Possible origins and implications. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 44(18), 9216–9224. <https://doi.org/10.1002/2017GL074416>
- Zhang, H., Zhang, X., Zhang, G., Dong, K., Deng, X., Gao, X., et al. (2021). Size, morphology, and composition of lunar samples returned by Chang'E-5 mission. *Science China Physics, Mechanics & Astronomy*, 65(2), 229511. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11433-021-1818-1>
- Zhao, S., Brzozowski, M. J., Mueller, T., Wang, L., & Li, W. (2022). Skarn classification and element mobility in the Yeshan Iron Deposit, Eastern China: Insight from lithogeochemistry. *Ore Geology Reviews*, 145, 104909. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.oregeorev.2022.104909>